## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	,	

	v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Rodolfo Vega-Valenzuela	Case Number: <u>08-6171M</u>		
and was repre		3142(f), a detention hearing was held on July 7, 2008. Defendant was present eponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention		
I find by a pro	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
·	•	a United States or loughelly admitted for permanent residence		
⊠ ⊠		e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
· <del></del>		narged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.			
X	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	:0.		
	The defendant is an amnesty appl substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to a	appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of years imprisonment.		
The C at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour loted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of cond DIRECT	endant will flee. ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. FIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
a corrections f appeal. The c of the United S	facility separate, to the extent practicab defendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ole, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ole opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the cose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
IT IS ( deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of th	nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District		
IT IS I Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria ore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
DAT	ED this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2008.			
		XIVA		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge